VEHICLE COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM AND METHOD

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

- The present invention generally relates to vehicle collision avoidance systems and methods and more particularly to a vehicle collision avoidance system and method employing a rotating pulsed infrared laser beam scanner apparatus for detecting obstacles circumferentially of the vehicle.
- [002] Vehicle collision avoidance systems and methods are well known in the art.

 For example, U.S. Patent No. 4,825,211, "Warning Device for Vehicles Against an Approaching Objects" discloses a warning device for use on a vehicle for sensing an approaching o bject when the vehicle is moving backwards. The device produces a first signal when the object is in remote proximity of the vehicle and at least a second signal when the object is in close proximity of the vehicle.

15

- [003] U.S. Patent No. 4,872,051, "Collision Avoidance Alarm System" discloses a passive collision avoidance system. An optical sensor is disposed to provide a continuous raster scan of the scene within a wide angle of the direction of travel of the vehicle. This sensor output is converted into digital data and stored. A computer system compares consecutive scenes to detect identifiable objects. For such identifiable objects, the computer calculates the centroid of the object and its angle, and a measure of the size or extent of the object. Detection of an object having a constant angle and an increasing measure of extent causes an alarm to be triggered.
- [004] U.S. Patent No. 5,314,037 "Automobile Collision Avoidance System" discloses a system based on laser radars for aiding in avoidance of automobile collisions.

 The system includes laser radars with transmitters and receivers, a computer, a warning device and an optional automatic braking device. The system compares a predicted collision time with a minimal allowable time to determine the immanency of a collision. When the system determines that a situation likely to result in an accident exists, it provides a warning. The optional automatic braking device is used when the vehicle user fails to respond to the warning.
 - [005] U.S. Patent No. 5,410,304 "Method and Apparatus for Displaying the Impending Danger Due to Speed Associated with the Driving Situation of a Vehicle" discloses a method in accordance with which a degree of danger is defined and calculated

and displayed to the driver of a vehicle, the degrees of danger indicating whether the driver of the vehicle is driving too close to a vehicle in front or other obstacle or is driving too fast in a fog. The signals necessary for this purpose are obtained from vehicle environment sensors, for example from a distance warning radar or distance recording radar and an infrared visual range measuring system, and are analyzed, together with vehicle condition signals, by a safety computer. On the basis of its specific programming, the computer determines, for the respective current driving condition, which of two degrees of danger is instantaneously predominant and indicates the condition which is respectively more critical to safety on a display.

5

10

15

20

25

30

[006] U.S. Patent No. 5,463,384 "Collision Avoidance System for Vehicles" discloses a system including an object detection module mounted on a vehicle for detecting the presence of an object within a monitored zone of space adjacent the vehicle. The detection unit e mits a plurality of beams of infrared energy and detects the reflection of such energy from objects within the zone. The detection module is typically activated by the host vehicle's electrical turn signal. The detection module includes a plurality of associated pairs of light emitting diodes and photosensitive detectors for sensing the reflected light.

[007] U.S. Patent No. 5,646,612 "Method for Avoiding Collision of Vehicle and Apparatus for Performing the Same" discloses an apparatus which determines a kind of an object ahead of a vehicle to warn a driver based on the kind of the object and properly performs deceleration and braking operations based on a position of the object and a speed of the vehicle.

[008] U.S. Patent No. 6,012,008 "Method and Apparatus for Predicting a Crash and Reacting Thereto" discloses an apparatus which is mounted on a vehicle and determines the time-to-impact for approaching obstacles that are within a limited distance from the host vehicle. The system can be deployed in the front of the host vehicle for warning of frontal impact, and at the sides for warning of side impact.

[009] U.S. Patent No. 6,470,273 "Collision Warning System" discloses a system including pairs of optical sensors integral to a moving vehicle. Each of the optical sensors of the pairs of said sensors is enabled for the detection of visible light magnitudes and infrared light magnitudes in the external vicinity of the vehicle, and for creating corresponding electrical signals. The optical sensors are directed in a physically spaced apart manner along a line of travel of the vehicle. Temporal changes in the magnitudes of

the electrical signals and a probability of a collision with an object external to the vehicle are determined and a warning signal is generated when collision is possible.

[010] As can be seen, the prior art discloses systems and methods for sensing objects and potential collisions within narrow ranges, generally in the direction of vehicle travel or to cover "blind spots", and as such there is a need for a vehicle collision avoidance system and method employing a rotating pulsed infrared laser beam scanner apparatus for detecting obstacles circumferentially of the vehicle.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

10

15

20

25

5

- [011] In accordance with one aspect of the invention, a vehicle collision avoidance system includes a rotating pulsed infrared laser beam scanner apparatus for generating a first signal representative of an obstacle; a processing circuit coupled to the rotating pulsed infrared laser beam scanner apparatus for processing the first signal and generating a plurality of signals; a processor coupled to the processing circuit for processing the plurality of signals and generating a braking signal; and a braking apparatus responsive to the braking signal.
- [012] In accordance with another aspect of the invention, a method of avoiding a vehicle collision includes determining features of an obstacle using a rotating pulsed infrared laser beam scanner apparatus; processing signals representative of the determined features; and braking the vehicle in the event the processed signals indicate an imminent collision.
- [013] These and other features, aspects and advantages of the present invention will become better understood with reference to the following drawings, description and claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- [014] FIG. 1 is an isometric view of a vehicle having mounted thereon a rotating pulsed infrared laser beam scanner apparatus in accordance with the present invention;
 - [015] FIG. 2 is a schematic view showing a sector in accordance with the present invention;

- [016] FIG. 2A is a schematic view of an infrared laser beam in accordance with the present invention;
- [017] FIG. 3 is a block diagram of a method of avoiding vehicle collisions in accordance with the present invention;
- 5 **[018]** FIG. 4 is a circuit diagram of a an analog circuit in accordance with the present invention;
 - [019] FIG. 5 is a listing showing an algorithm in accordance with the present invention:
- [020] FIG. 6 is a schematic diagram of a coordinate system in accordance with the present invention;
 - [021] FIG. 7 is a schematic diagram of a coordinate system in accordance with the present invention; and
 - [022] FIG. 8 is a schematic diagram of a coordinate system in accordance with the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

15

20

25

- [023] The following detailed description is of the best modes of carrying out the invention. The description is not to be taken in a limiting sense, but is made merely for the purpose of illustrating the general principles of the invention, since the scope of the invention is best defined by the appended claims.
- [024] The present invention generally provides a vehicle collision avoidance system and method employing a rotating pulsed infrared laser beam scanner apparatus for detecting obstacles circumferentially of the vehicle.
- With reference to FIG. 1, a system in accordance with the invention includes a rotating pulsed infrared laser beam scanner apparatus 100 mounted on a top 110 of a vehicle 120 for transmitting and receiving an infrared laser beam having properties as further described in Table 1. Advantageously, the rotating scanner apparatus 100 includes both horizontal and vertical scanning capabilities. More specifically, the rotating scanner apparatus 100 rotates in a horizontal plane at 48 revolutions per second and in a vertical plane at 8 sectors per second. A sector 200 is shown in FIG. 2 and may include an angle α of 2.5 degrees with the sectors ranging from 28.8 degrees to 88.8 degrees.

Optics Properties				Physical Properties					
Transmitter	Wavelength Range	1, 000nm to 1, 550nm, excludes 1, 300 to 1, 400nm.		Divergent ***Angle		$\alpha = 0.00212206 \text{ rad}$ = 0.763942°			
	Peak Power	Pt (peak, max) = 28.45 W Pt (Ave.) = 104 mW				10 - 20cm			
	Typical Repetition Rate	Repetition Rate frr: 10Mhz to 110Mhz Pulse width T		Diameter of the lens in					
		(constant):1.0ns		Target		D2 =18cm,			
		(25% tolerance)		Horizontal		osting	Period	Rotation Speed	
		(Tiorizontai	disk		20.83 ms	RPS = 48	
				Longitudi- nal	(ii di ho to ho	n the isk osted o the osting isk)		SPS = 8 (Here SPS stands for "Sectors Per Second")	
	Sensitivity	Exp (-9) W		Scanner body at		Not greater than 2.5cm			
	Power Density at the cornea	0.530 mw/sqcm		Vertical Section					
	Channels	1,000	Scanner body			Not greater than 2.5 cm			
Modulating (For ranging purpose)	Frequency	833.33khz		diameter at Horizontal Section					

Table 1 Specification of Pulsed Infrared Laser

[026] Referring to FIG. 2A, the scanning power P_t of the rotating pulsed infrared laser beam scanner apparatus 100 may be computed using a reflected area (half sphere) Sr of 2 Pi R**2 and a lens diameter of DI = 50mm. Then the efficiency η_T

$$= \eta r f * [(st'/st) * (sl/sr)]$$
(1)
$$= 0.25 * [(\pi Rt'^2/\pi Rt^2)*(\pi Rl^2/2\pi R^2)]$$
(2)
$$= [(Dt'^2/Dt^2)*(Dl^2/(Dr)^2] * 0.25 * 1/2$$
(3)
$$= .125 [(Dl/Dr)]**2$$
(4)
$$= .125 ((5/18) (18 / 18, 000))**2$$
(5)
$$= 9.65 Exp(-9)$$
(6)
$$Pt \cdot \eta_T = P_{RE}$$
(7)
$$Pt \ge 10^{-9} W$$
(8)
$$Pt_{(peak)} \ge 10^{-9}/9.65 Exp(-9)$$
(9)
$$= 0.104 W$$
(10)
$$= 104 \text{ mw}$$
(11)

where nrf: is the reflection rate – the ratio of reflected power (or energy) over insertion power (or energy), Rt is the area on the target intercept with the laser beam, Rt' is the minimum detectable as design required on the object, and R is the distance to an object 130 (FIG. 1) from the rotating pulsed infrared laser beam scanner apparatus 100. Considering modulation factor 1/100 (carrier purpose) & 0.5 (for ranging purpose, it becomes

Pt (Average) =
$$104/200$$
 (12)
= 0.520 mW (13).

[027] To have 40db (100times of power factor) reserved power capacity to cope with foggy/rainy or dirty targets, it may be necessary to raise the transmitted power potential into a maximum value

$$Pt (Max) = 0.104 * 100$$
 (14)

$$= 10.4 \text{ W}$$
 (15)

25 Pt (max, Average) =
$$.52 *100$$
 (16)

Power of average level, at the cornea after counting the duration when the cornea truly receives the laser beam may be calculated as

P(RE, average, cornea) = Prac (18)
=
$$52 \text{ mw}^*\text{R*} \theta/2\text{Pi*R}$$
 (19)
5 = $52^*0.002/2\text{Pi}$ (20)
= $52/3125$ (21)
= $0.0166(\text{mw})$ (22)

where P_{RE} is the receiving power on the sensor and $P_{t:}$ is the transmitting power from transmitter.

[028] From the above computations several conclusions can be obtained. A first conclusion is that the ideal peak transmit power Pt (peak, Res) of the pulsed laser able to deal with reserved potential for a worst condition, may at least be 12.2 W or P (peak, Res) ≥ 10.4 w. A second conclusion is that the basic power requirement (without reserved capacity) may be Pt (peak, basic) = 104 mw. A third conclusion is that the average
 maximum received power (counting in the object occupation at the circumference factor) may be Pre (AVE) = 0.0166 mw. Finally, a fourth conclusion is that the power density on the cornea may be Pre (density) = Pt (AVE)*S(cornea)/S(target))/S(cornea)

25

where St is an area on the target - a cross section formed at certain distance away from the laser source where the propagating laser beam is cut by the target body, Rt is the distance from the laser source to the surface denoted as St, Rt (min) is the minimum distance of Rt determined by the real situation, here taken to be 50cm for safety purposes.

[029] To determine if the pulsed laser beam of the invention is safe in its application in terms OF IEC regulations, the following three computations can be performed. (1) MPE

(single) requirement: Compute the MPE for a single pulse at wave length of 1030 - 1050nm, 1ns to 100ns (Exp(-9)s to Exp(-7)s pulse width range with pulse duration shorter than 1ms yielding EMP (Single) = 5Exp(-3) C4C6J/sqm = 0.005x5x1.33/10,000 = 3.3Exp (-6) J/sq cm. (2) Since the pulsed laser series is designed to be uniform, thus it meets the item B requirement – limited in table 6 and 8 on the IEC60825-1. (3) Find total MPE(train) within the duration for which the concerned target(s) are exposed under the pulsed laser beam. (Average exposure in the train can not possess more energy that if it exists as a single pulse as). Since T = .002/200*2Pi = 1.6Exp(-6) then F = Exp7, N = F (carrier, mod) * T(total) = 1.1 Exp8 * 1.6Exp(-6) = 176. Hence MPE (train) = MPEs N **(-0.25) = 3.3Exp(-6) * 176**(-.25) = 3.3 Exp(-6) * 0.275 = 0.906 Exp (-6)J/sqcm. This is the maximum power limit for any pulse in the pulse train. Now compute the max transmitting peak power allowed: MPE(s)/A = Pt * Tp where Tp is the pulse width of the laser pulse series and Tp = Exp(-9)s, Pt (peak, max) = A*MPE(s)/Tp = 0.0314 $sqcm^*0.906Exp(-6) / Exp(-9) = 28.448 W (safety required). Therefore Pt (peak, max) =$ 28.448W. According to items (1), (2) and (3) above, the infrared pulsed laser of the invention. featuring 9W peak power, 1.03-1.06um wavelength and 0.002rad transmitting angle, dual modulating and dual plane rotating, fully satisfies and complies with IEC 60825 product safety requirements. As the power specified above is for a 700 to 1050 nm laser beam, for higher ranges, the peak power permitted is larger. In considering both factors regarding system sensitivity and water media absorption, the wavelength range may be selected in the region from 1,000nm to 1,550 nm. The allocation of the wavelength may be based on a few factors, including the availability of the component resource. Generally, the region from about 1,300nm to 1,400nm may be excluded to avoid energy loss of the laser beam due to moisture absorption in its propagating path. The power requirements are summarized in Table 2.

5

10

15

	Scanning Laser Power								
	Syste	m needs	Adapted Design	IEC 60825 Limit					
Power Type	Minimum (basic) Requirement	With Reserved Capacity (40dB Reserved)	As high as what system requires	Maximum					
Peak Value	.104W	10.4 W	15 W	>28.45 W					
Average Value	.52 mW	52 mW 52 mW 75 mW >142							
Wave Main Properties	Best Selected Wavelength: 1450 to 1550nm (IEC 60825 table under Corresponding Frequency Range)								
	Repetition Rate: 10Mhz to 110Mhz (Exp7 Hz to 1.1Exp8 Hz)								
Pulse Width: 1.0 – 1.25 ns (constant)									

Table 2 Power Requirements

[030] With reference to FIG. 3, a method generally designated 300 of avoiding a vehicle collision is shown including a step 310 of transmitting and receiving an infrared laser beam using the rotating pulsed infrared laser beam scanner apparatus 100 described herein. A reflected laser beam is filtered in a step 320 and processed in a step 330. Analog signal processing is achieved using application firmware. In a step 340 the processed analog signal is converted to a digital signal and processed by a digital processor in a step 350 and a co-processor in a step 360. An output signal from the digital

5

processor is amplified by a power amplifier in a step 370 and input to an electro-hydroid braking control in a step 380. An output signal from the digital processor is also input to a video interface in a step 390 and an output of the video interface is input to a display in a step 395. By operation of the method 300, a vehicle collision is a voided by a pplying a braking force to the vehicle in step 380 under conditions as further described herein.

5

10

15

20

25

[031] FIG. 4 shows an analog circuit generally designated 400 for determining R, R'(t) and R"(t) corresponding to step 330. Using the circuit 400, R is taken at the output of op amp 430 (Vg), R'(t) is taken at the output of op amp 410 (Vd), and R"(t) is taken at the output of op amp 420 (Vf).

[032] To avoid interference, a channel scrambler is provided. Each vehicle is allowed to transmit and receive its own channel separated by a channel band-width of 100 kHz to avoid interference from signals produced by other vehicles using the system and method of the invention. A conventional channel dividing method may be employed to achieve this result as outlined in Table 3. One thousand channels may be used by following the following analysis. In US the total number of vehicles is assumed to be 50 million and among these, 10 million use the system and method of the invention. Hence the maximum number of vehicles in an area subject to mutual interference is 1000. So the system concentration over the total vehicle population in the US is 1/5 and the channel concentration over the entire population of vehicles is 1/5 * 1/1000 or 1/5000. Then for a region having 1000 vehicles, the number of these vehicles sharing the same channel is 500 * 1/5000 or 0.1 vehicles. As two vehicles are required to cause a mutual disturbance, 2/0.1 or 20 is the safety factor.

Channel Number	N = 1,000		
Bank Width	B = 100Khz = 0.1Mhz	Filter Type	Frequency Division
Repetition Range***	F (L) = 10Mhz, F (H) = 110Mhz	Resonant Q Value	***

Table 3 Channel Scrambler Design

[033] FIG. 5 shows an algorithm generally designated 500 implementable in the digital processor to achieve the purposes of the invention. In a step 510 Vf is computed as shown and t1 is recorded. In a step 520 Vr is recorded. Then in a step 530 when Vf is

equal to zero a timer is stopped and t2 recorded. In a step 540 Ts is determined as (t2 – t1). In a step 550 Q is determined as shown. Then in a step 555 Tc, the time to collision is determined as Tc = R/Vr. In a step 560 criteria are set as shown. Finally in a step 570 a determination is made if a collision will occur or not.

In order to determine the signal processing time, assume the scanner system has 200 revolution/s in the horizontal direction, and the laser beam sweeps over maximum (full condition) 3000 obstacle bodies during each revolution, that is

15

20

25

Since detecting one obstacle body consumes 116ns, then the time needed to process data for one revolution is

The time necessary for processing data obtained within one second's scanning

$$T (1s) = 0.381 \text{ ms} * 200$$
 (34)
= 76.2ms (35)
= 0.076 S (36)

which means there is sufficient time to process all the data, or the data processing speed is fast enough to meet the system requirements. The operating times are summarized in Table 4.

[035] The system overall properties are summarized in Table 5.

Table 4 Signal Process Algorithm & Operating Time (One "Obstacle Body")

	Object	Formula	Sampl ing	Analog Process	A / D	Data Fetch	Computing Or Logic		R E G	Sub- total
							Short	Mul,		(ns)
	Ri	1.5 / Sin ahi	1	5	2	1	0	4	1	14
		dRc/dt	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	5
	Vi		ļ	0	10	1	1	0	1	3
Distance Related	I	$V_i < 0 I = 1$ $V_i > 0 I = 0$	0		<u> </u>					<u> </u>
	а	dv /dt	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
	a'	da/dt	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
	ρ	a' - 20 m/s³	0	Ö	0	2	1	0	1	4
	М	$\rho > 0 M = 1$ $\rho < 0 M = 0$	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	3
				A				·	1	37
	ωb'	Ts - 1.592Exp (-6)	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	9
Angle Related	β	d wb' / dt	0	1	1	0	0	.1	1	4
Related	N	β < 0 N = 1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	3
		$\beta > 0 N = 0$					<u></u>		<u> </u>	16
							Ţ.,	0	1	9
	Ti	12-11	2	_1	2	2	0	4	1	7
	τω	ωb' / β	0	0	0_	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1	3
	τ _ω ν 1.5	$\sigma = {}^{T}\omega - 1.5$	0	0	0_	1		0	1	3
Time Related	o	$\sigma < 0 O = 1$ $\sigma > 0 O = 0$	0	0	0	1	1	Ů	•	
	Tri	((V**2 -2Ri * a ·)**1/2 - V))/ a	0	0	0	5	2	5 * 4 = 20	1	28
	γ	γ = Tri - 1.5	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	3
	L	y <0 L =1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	3
		γ > 0 L=0								56
			ľ	1			1	0	1	7
Decision	С	C=MNOLI	0	0	0	5	1	<u> </u>	 	116
Process Time (Single Obstacle Body)	PTP (S)									

System		QTT Value	System	QTT			
Prop	perties		Properties	Value	Remarks		
Electri cal Signal Proce ss time.	Analog	Analog <0.1ms Ranging Distance R		R = 90 m	S < 1,017cmSq (Equivalent to: A circle with R = 18cm Or A standing pole with two inches width and 2meters height)		
	Digital	<1ms		90m < R < 120m	S = 1,017sqcm		
	Total	<1.1ms		General R> 120m	S = S0 (R/R0)**0.5		
Res	nanical ponse	T m < 16 ms	Shortest Detecting distance	1.62 m	Remark: S stands for the area		
Required			Detecting Plane Angle	360°	where the scanning laser beam strikes		
Optical Signal Propagating Time		Td < 0.0006 ms	Impact Sustaining In Vertical Axis	10g*	on while R0 = 90m S0 = 300sqcm		
Obstacle Tracking Period		Horizontal: 21ms Longitudinal: 126ms	Humidity	40%*	- 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		
Total Control Time		Between 38 to 143ms	Detecting Height	0.2 – 1.6 m			

Table 5 System Overall Properties

[036] What follows is a discussion of collision criteria. As shown in FIG. 6, two vehicles (Vehicle A and vehicle B) are apart a distance r and travel in the direction with the speeds shown. For the convenience of analysis, we assume that both vehicles are placed in an absolute angular co-ordinate system – the earth ground, and also the relative system with respect to Vehicle A as the original point of the relative system. Both systems use AC as the positive velocity direction and the clockwise direction as the positive angle and angular velocity direction. Vectors denoted with (') represent the relative system, whereas no (') denotes vectors in the absolute system.

[037] Obviously, the projecting image component of relative angular velocity of Vehicle B along vehicle A's direction axis must be zero, otherwise it will not result in collision with Vehicle A, that is

Vbt' Cos
$$\gamma = 0$$
 (37)

(in the relative system)

While in the absolute system, it means

$$Vbt Cos y = V$$
 (38)

According to movement studies,

$$Vbt = Va + Vbt' (39)$$

From equation (37), we have either

20
$$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$$
 (40)

or

5

10

$$Vbt' = 0.$$
 (41)

[038] The condition where $\gamma = 90$ ° is hard to detect, but to detect Vp" and determine that if then Vbt' = 0 is possible. From Equation (39), Vbt' = Vbt-Va. Based on Equation (41) and (39), Vbt' = Vpt – Va = 0 and thus Vbt = Va.

[039] If conditions

$$Vbt = Va$$
 (42)

and

$$dR / dt = - K < 0,$$
 (43)

are both true, said two vehicles will result in a collision, that is, it will take the same time – t seconds, for Vehicle A to move through segment AC, for vehicle B to move through BC, and for relative distance between Vehicle A and Vehicle B to become zero. In the mean time, all AC, BC and AB = r will be on the same triangle, upon applying equations (42) and (43).

10

5

[040] The mathematical statements below prove that if these two vehicles start from A and B points, after same time t, they move over distance AC and BC upon which AC, BC and r will be able to construct an exact triangle, in other words, they meet at the same time and the same location and a collision occurs.

15 By inspecting triangle ABC, we have

$$AC = Va^* t \tag{44}$$

$$AB = d \tag{45}$$

The triangle hence should meet:

BC =
$$(Vat^2 + R^2 - 2 Vat^*R^* Cos\theta) \frac{1}{2}$$
 (46)

and
$$Vb^2 = K^2 + Va^2 - 2Kva Cos\theta$$
 (48)

(where Vb stands for the absolute velocity of Vehicle B)

That is

Vb=
$$(K^2 + Va^2 - 2K Va Cos θ)\frac{1}{2}$$
 (49)

25

20

The time for vehicle B to travel to C will be

$$T1 = BC/Vb =$$
 (50)

 $(Vat)^2 + R^2 - 2 Vat^*R^* Cos\theta) \frac{1}{2}$

 $(K^2+Va^2-2K Va Cos \theta)\frac{1}{2}$

The time for the distance between Vehicle A and B to become zero should be, according to Vr = dr/dt

$$T2 = R / |Vr| = R / K$$
 (51)

Since when the time collision to occurs,

5 T1 = T2 = t, hence R / K =
$$\frac{(Vat^2 + R^2 - 2 Va * t * R * Cos θ) \frac{1}{2}}{(K^2 + Va^2 - 2K Va Cos θ)\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$r^2 / K^2 =$$

$$Va^{2*}r^{2}/K^{2} + r^{2} - 2 Va^{*} r/K^{*}r^{*}Cos \theta$$

$$K^2 + Va^2 - 2 K Va Cos θ$$

Crossing multiplying both sides of this equation and then simplifying it to find that

Left side = Right side

$$= r^2 K^2 + r^2 va^2 - 2 Kr^2 Va Cos \theta$$

This means the equation is true.

15 **[041]** That Vbt' = 0 implies that

 $R * \omega b' = Vbt' = 0$. Since R can not be zero requires that ω has to be zero, thus we withdraw the criteria to determine if a collision between two vehicles' defined above should occur at:

$$\omega b' = 0 \tag{52}$$

The relative angular velocity is zero or

$$\alpha$$
 = Ts * ω sc and

$$dR/dt = Vr < 0 (53)$$

And the condition when the braking action to be needed is:

$$|\mathsf{Tr}| \le 1.5 (\mathsf{s}) \tag{54}$$

25 **[042]** With reference to FIGS. 7 and 8 ωb' is defined as the relative angular velocity, from Vehicle A to the dot pointed by the laser beam vector

striking on Vehicle B, turning around Vehicle A clock wisely, ωb is defined as angular velocity, from Vehicle A to the dot pointed by the laser beam vector striking on Vehicle B, turning around Vehicle A clock wisely, ωsc is defined as the angular velocity of the Infrared Laser Scanner, Vb is defined as the velocity of the scanned obstacle, Vs is defined as the line velocity of the scanning beam at the scanned point on the obstacle, A is defined as the diverging angle of the scanning inferred laser beam, Ls is defined as the length the beam vector sweeps over, and Ts is defined as the period for the entire laser beam pass over the extreme point, during which the changing rate of the relative distance maintains zero, mathematically expressed as "dR / dt = 0".

[043] Let Vbp and Vsp stand for Vb's and Vs's projection along Va's direction line MN respectively. Then

Ls =R *
$$\alpha$$

(V bT + ω sc * R – Va Cos γ) = R * α (55)

15 Since

5

10

Cosy = Cos (90-(180-
$$\theta$$
))
= Cos (-90+ θ)= Cos (90- θ)
= Sin θ

Hence equation (55) becomes

20 (V bt + ωsc R – Va Sin θ)Ts = R *
$$\alpha$$
 (56)

and

Vbt = R * α / Ts- ω sc R + Va Sin θ .

And because

Vbt' = Vbt - Va Sin
$$\theta$$
 It yields

$$\omega b' = Vbt'/R = (R *\alpha / Ts - \omega sc R) / R$$

$$\omega b' = \alpha / Ts - \omega sc \qquad (58)$$

$$When $\omega b' = 0$

$$\alpha / Ts - \omega sc = 0$$

$$That is \qquad \alpha / Ts = \omega sc$$

$$\alpha = Ts * \omega sc \qquad (59)$$$$

In order to determine the collision criteria ωh must be computed. The value ωh stands for the relative horizontal component of the angular velocity defined in equation (58), and it relates to the longitudinal component and the resultant component ω T by

$$\omega T^2 = \omega h^2 + \omega L^2$$

which can be expressed as

$$\omega h = (\omega T^2 - \omega L^2)^{**1/2}$$
 (60)

[045] Since the period TI must ideally be greater than Th to avoid a permanent dead corner in the obstacle detecting process, as well as reducing the error caused by inducing ωL in the calculations, we let

$$TL = 10 Th$$
.

Because the longitudinal angle is $\pi/6$, we have

$$\pi/6 /\omega L = 10 * 2 \pi / \omega h$$
.

20 From this we obtain

10

$$\omega h = 120 \omega L \tag{61}$$

[046] From equation. (60) and equation (61) we know that means ωL is much smaller than ωh and

$$\omega L / \omega h = 0.008333$$

$$\omega h / \omega T = 1 / (1 + 0.00833^2)^{**0.5}$$

= 1/ 1.0000347 ~ 1

That is, ωsc ~ ωh

$$= \alpha / Ts - \omega sc$$

5
$$\omega b' = \alpha / Ts - \omega h$$
 (62)

[047] In the real world, the algorithm for data processing to determine collision criteria may be more complicated - not all objects on the road will result in a collision, when the height of the object is low enough, it should be defined a "bumpy" instead of collision. In terms of the real road condition, since

10 1.6 − R Sin α h ≤ 1/3 Rw

15

= .30/3

= 0.10 M

where R is the distance to the obstacle and Rw is the radius of the vehicle's wheels, normally be 0.3m. The longitudinal angle denoted as ' α ' is obtained by a specially design semiconductor angle detecting device, in which the measured longitudinal angle is in proportional to the illuminating passing through lights. Thai is, when

$$R \ge 1.5 / \sin \alpha h \tag{63}$$

will be judged as a collision case and be added as one of the collision criteria.

20 **[048]** To predict the collision more accurately, the system of the invention may be non-linear, that is, the distance is the function of the longitudinal angle, and the relative velocity and relative angular velocity to the obstacle can be a second order variable, as described below.

$$\omega = \omega 0 + \beta t$$

 $R = R0 + V0t + \frac{1}{2}at**2$

where β is defined as

$$\beta = d(\omega b')/dt$$
, where $\omega b' = \alpha / Ts - \omega h$.

as shown in equation (59) and

10

15

20

25

 $^{\text{T}}\omega$ is defined that after that duration the relative angle between two objects will become zero.

During the driving period, disregarding any traffic site (high way, freeway, streets, local plaths, planking log, ... etc.), blased on the any measured data (with sampling rate 20Mhz), once the measured data R, Ts, as we as other computed data such as a, ω , β , Tr and ω , meet the equations listed below, a collision with a obstacle is concluded to occur within short time (1.5s) and the proper control must be taken to avoid a collision accident.

[050] Since the data is continuously coming in and updated, the application of braking or the real situation modifies the criteria, the conclusion of the prediction about the collision may vary, hence the control action may persist, change (the strength of the braking, for instance) or cancel depending on the instantaneous computation result, but once braking starts, the control signal will be held for at least 250ms, waiting for the next reliable updated control signal. Note that all these operations are completed automatically without the driver's extra effort. Because the system keeps updating its control status every 250ms, the driver's participation will change the detecting result and the system will automatically count all these promptly in its analysis and control decision making.

Tr =
$$((V0**2 - 2R0 * V't)**1/2 - V0))/a \le 1.5 s$$

Tw = wb' / β $\le 1.5 s$

[051] Any collision relates to a body, regardless of its material make-up, which can be metal, wood, cement, rock, plastics a human body...etc. In order to deal with the individual bodies, certain data are sampled in some critical points to determine the collision prediction.

[052] An obstacle body in the traffic environment can be a single body, such a 20cm diameter standing wire pole to support cables, a vehicle of a few meters in length, a body of a human being of 20 to 45cm width typically, or a building as wide as 4 to 100 meters. (If the data from any mass point on the body suggests a collision to occur, then we define that it is a collision.) To simplify the computation, we also assume reasonably that all points in the same body will have the same velocity, angular velocity, acceleration and their higher order derivatives. Thus, we can use these data to represent the entire body, for example, if information from these mass points does not suggest an immediate collision, then there is no collision control will be evoked.

[053] Separating the individual bodies by

where $t = t \ 1 \ @ \ dR/dt > 0$ associates the starting edge of the body while $t = t \ 2 \ @ \ dR/dt < 0$ associates the stopping edge of the obstacle body, since the length of the laser beam changes dramatically at these two points, while the within the same body usually not. If there is more than one time of

$$V = dR/dt > + Exp6$$

found consecutively, only the first one counts, while if there is more than one time of

$$dR/dt < - Exp6$$

5

10

15

found, the last one counts. All points found in this interval are regarded as one obstacle body.

Remark: The obstacle body width D looks to the subject vehicle to be

$$D \sim R * \omega sc * (t2-t1)$$

25 Ts =
$$t2 - t1$$

[054] Start sampling by the period of 400ns (2.5Mhz) typically during the period between t1 and t2 mentioned above, so that 1.6us will sweep over an entire scanning angle. For each sampled data of "R" that associates with the body denoted as Ri, the system hardware and software will obtain, calculate and keep truck of the associated parameters, such as

5

25

An obstacle body will receive many times within one turn sweeping of the laser scanning, depending on the distance and its size. For example, at 90 meters away, a 18cm wide pole will receive about 16 points sampling if the repetition of the scanning laser wave is 10Mhz. Note that αhi is the look-down angle respecting to the horizontal direction and is obtained from a special device built in to the system that measures the angle through measuring the output power from a photo-sensitive semiconductor.

[055] Determine the ai, dai/dt for all points in the obstacle body. Determine the ai, vi dai/dt in the critical point. When

it is the critical point because at this point the a, v reflect the true value of the obstacle body. As mentioned above, the data a, v, dv/dt obtained from the same body are also applicable to other points the entire body. Hence we can judge if one, some or all of these points should resulted in an immediate collision or not.

If any one of these points within the body (does not necessarily have to be more than one) are predicted to resulted in a collision, then this obstacle body is predicted to result in a immediate collision. [056] The five criteria below may be used in the circuit and algorithm to determine the possibility of collision for all points sampled from the "Obstacle Body":

$$ωb' = Ts - 1.6Exp(-1.6)$$
 $Ri \ge 1.5 / Sin αhi$ (64)

 $V = dRc / dt \le 0$ (65)

 $β = d ωb' / dt \le 0$ (66)

 $Tri = ((V^{**}2 - 2(Ri - Rd) * a)^{**}1/2 - V)) / V't$
 ≤ 1.5 (67)

 $Tω = ωb' / β \le 1.5$ (68)

 $Ri: m$ $a: m/s$
 $β: radian/sqs$ $Tri: s$
 $Tω: s$
 $Rd: m$

15

20

25

where Rd is one half of the vehicle's diagonal, which varies with different types of vehicles (referring to the top view of the vehicle). A typical value for Rd is 2.25m. The reason to subtract Rd from Ri is that Ri, as a data obtained and computed from the system, originally refers to the distance between the center of the scanner to the target where the laser beam strikes in that particular sampling. Since the collision will occur between the nearest portion of two vehicles at the beginning of collision, not between the scanner and the obstacle target spot where the laser beam reaches, this conversion is necessary. One half of the diagonal is just an approximated model to simplify the computation. This way we exchange great convenience in the system's calculation with a small and acceptable error. The sub "c" denotes the critical point, where da/dt reaches its minimum. We assume the value of a '(t) falls into an interval as below at this time,

$$0.001 \text{ m/s}^{**}3 < a'(t) = d(da/dt)/dt < 0.1 \text{ m/s}^{**}3$$

[057] Note that the ω h in equation (62) is replaced by ω sc, which stands for relative horizontal component of the angular velocity of the scanning laser beam defined in equation (62) and it relates to the longitudinal component and the resultant component ω h by

5
$$\omega bi' = \alpha / Ts - \omega sc$$

[058] This set of five data is associated with one mass point in the body and if all of them meet the above equation set, this mass point will result in a collision, hence, this obstacle body is judged to result in an immediate collision.

[0019] F in below stands for the applied force of vehicle braking while Fmax is the available maximum force used for braking, in the unit of "N".

$$F = Fmax (1.5/T)$$
 (69)

Were T is the time pending to collision, in the unit of "s".

[059] To cope with a bumpy road

$$F = K1*Fmax (h **K2)$$
 (70)

15 0 < h < 0.10 m

10

0.05 < K1 < 5

0.1 < K2 < 0.5

where K1 and K2 are two constants and H is the height of the obstacle detected, in meters.

20 Rf stands for the distance obtain

[060] It should be understood, of course, that the foregoing relates to preferred embodiments of the invention and that modifications may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the following claims.